CHARLESTON SCHOOL OF THE ARTS 1600 Saranac St. N. Charleston, SC 29405 6-12 High School GRADES 827 Students ENROLLMENT Rose Maree Myers 843-529-4990 PRINCIPAL SUPERINTENDENT Dr. Maria L. Goodloe 843-937-6319 Ms. Nancy Cook 843-760-2635 BOARD CHAIR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA ANNUAL SCHOOL 2004 REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: EXCELLENT Absolute Ratings of High Schools with Students like Ours Excellent Average Below Average Unsatisfactory 11 0 0 0 0 IMPROVEMENT RATING: **EXCELLENT** ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: YES This school met 9 out of 9 objectives. The objectives included performance and participation of students in various groups and student attendance rate. SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Excellent	N/A	N/A
2002	Excellent	Excellent	N/A
2003	Excellent	Good	Yes
2004	Excellent	Excellent	Yes

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- •Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

HIGH SCHOOL ASSESSMENT PROGRAM (HSAP) EXAM PASSAGE RATE: SECOND YEAR STUDENTS

		Our School	l	High Schools with Students Like Ours			
Percent	2004	2005	2006	2004	2005	2006	
Passed 2 subtests	98.1	N/A	N/A	91.0	N/A	N/A	
Passed 1 subtest	1.9	N/A	N/A	5.3	N/A	N/A	
Passed no subtests	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.5	N/A	N/A	

EXIT EXAM PASSAGE RATE BY SPRING 2004

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Percent	100.0%	98.6%

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIP

Percent of	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	43.7	31.2
Seniors who met the SAT/ACT requirement	43.7	31.6
Seniors who met the grade point average	79.3	66.5

^{*}Using only the SAT/ACT and grade point average requirements

GRADUATION RATE

	Our School	High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Number of Students	88	339		
Number of Diplomas	87	295		
Rate	98.9%	87.1%		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS								
	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2004		•	Eligibility for LIFE Scholarship		Graduation Rate		
	n	%	n	%	n	%	Met State Objective	
All Students	92	100.0	87	43.7	88	98.9	YES	
Gender								
Male	43	100.0	40	40.0	40	100.0	N/A	
Female	49	100.0	47	46.8	48	97.9	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	61	100.0	59	59.3	58	98.3	N/A	
African-American	26	100.0	24	4.2	26	100.0		
Asian/Pacific Islander	1	I/S	1	I/S	1	I/S	N/A	
Hispanic	4	I/S	3	I/S	3	I/S	N/A	
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Racial/Ethnic Group								
Non disabled	85	100.0	85	44.7	84	100.0	N/A	
Disabilities other than speech	7	100.0	2	I/S	4	I/S	N/A	
Migrant Status								
Migrant	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-migrant	92	100.0	87	43.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	
English Proficiency								
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	
Non-Limited English Proficient	92	100.0	87	43.7	87	100.0	N/A	
Socio-Economic Status								
Subsidized meals	14	100.0	11	18.2	11	100.0	N/A	
Full-pay meals	78	100.0	76	47.4	77	98.7	N/A	

HSAP PERFORMANCE		_							Η,
	Enrollment 1st	۶/ ۰	% Below Basis)	% Proficient	% Advanced	% Proficient and Advanced	Performance Objective	Participation O
	Just J	" resting % Tested	' / 👸	% Basic	j / jĝ		<i>jej.</i>	e E	ja (iji
	\{\bar{\bar{b}}\} \\ \far{b}\}	; / %	/ ½	/ %	/ %	4	\\dig(\frac{1}{2}\)		[/ ig
	Pa E	1	/ %	/	/ %	/ %	1 % A	/ ª §	/ ° č
Engl	ish/Langua		/ State Perf		/ Objective	/			
All Students	109	99.1	N/A	2.9	27.6	69.5	97.1	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	38	100.0	N/A	5.3	26.3	68.4	94.7	N/A	N/A
Female	71	98.6	N/A	1.5	28.4	70.1	98.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	79	98.7	N/A	1.3	18.2	80.5	98.7	YES	YES
African-American	26	100.0	N/A	8.3	58.3	33.3	91.7	I/S	1/8
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/8
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/5
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	104	99.0	N/A	2.0	28.0	70.0	98.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/8
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	109	99.1	N/A	2.9	27.6	69.5	97.1	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Non-Limited English Proficient	109	99.1	N/A	2.9	27.6	69.5	97.1	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	16	100.0	N/A	6.7	60.0	33.3	93.3	I/S	1/8
Full-pay meals	93	98.9	N/A	2.2	22.2	75.6	97.8	N/A	N/A
	Mathemati	cs - State	Performa	nce Obje	ctive = 30	.0%			
All Students	109	99.1	1.9	13.3	36.2	48.6	93.3	YES	YES
Gender									
Male	38	100.0	5.3	13.2	31.6	50.0	89.5	N/A	N/A
Female	71	98.6	N/A	13.4	38.8	47.8	95.5	N/A	N/A
Racial/Ethnic Group									
White	79	98.7	1.3	5.2	41.6	51.9	96.1	YES	YES
African-American	26	100.0	4.2	41.7	25.0	29.2	83.3	I/S	1/5
Asian/Pacific Islander	3	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/5
Hispanic	1	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/3
American Indian/Alaskan	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/3
Disability Status									
Not Disabled	104	99.0	1.0	12.0	36.0	51.0	96.0	N/A	N/A
Disabled	5	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	I/S	1/:
Migrant Status									
Migrant	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Non-Migrant	109	99.1	1.9	13.3	36.2	48.6	93.3	N/A	N/A
English Proficiency									
Limited English Proficient	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	I/S	1/:
Non-Limited English Proficient	109	99.1	1.9	13.3	36.2	48.6	93.3	N/A	N/A
Socio-Economic Status									
Subsidized meals	16	100.0	6.7	40.0	33.3	20.0	86.7	I/S	1/3
Full-pay meals	93	98.9	1.1	8.9	36.7	53.3	94.4	N/A	N/A

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable N/AV Not Available N/C Not Collected N/R Not Reported I/S Insufficient Sample

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specifies that the statewide target is met for All Students and for the following subgroups: Racial/Ethnic, Subsidized Meals, Disability, and Limited English Proficiency.

i ililoipai o youlo at colloci	0.0	Op nom o.o	1.0	0.0
Student-teacher ratio in core subjects	24.1 to 1	Down from 25.2 to 1	26.2 to 1	26.4 to 1
Prime instructional time	91.4%	Down from 91.8%	91.3%	90.0%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,639	Down 6.0%	\$5,639	\$6,310
Percent of expenditures for teacher salaries*	67.9%	Up from 67.6%	58.1%	57.9%
Opportunities in the arts	Excellent	No change	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	84.0%	Up from 62.8%	94.5%	89.3%

No change

N/A

Yes

Good

Yes

Good

Yes

Excellent

SACS accreditation

	Our District	State
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools**	88.1%	92.0%
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools**	87.8%	91.1%
	State Objective	Met State Objective
Highly qualified teachers in this school**	65.0%	Yes
Student attendance in this school	95.3%	Yes

^{**}NOTE: The verification process was not completed for the year reported; therefore the count of highly qualified teachers may not be accurate.

Character development program * Prior year audited financial data are reported.

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The Charleston County School Board opened the School of the Arts in 1995 to provide in-depth arts programs for talented students from throughout Charleston County. Beginning with 200 students in grades 6 and 7, we added a grade level each year until we celebrated our first graduating class in May 2001. We anticipate an enrollment of over 850 students in the combined middle and high schools during the 2004-05 school year.

Now that we are complete as a grades 6-12 school, our focus has been to strengthen both our academic and fine arts programs, while enhancing the delivery of the curriculum.

Recent Improvement Efforts:

- ·Increase instructional time in English Language Arts at middle school level to 500 minutes per week
- ·Add computer writing labs in 6th, 7th and 8th grades
- Reduce average class size in middle school academic classes from 26 to 22
- ·Provide additional media specialist to improve access to technology by both faculty and students
- -Improve media center to make it a more inviting environment for individual students as well as whole class instruction
- \cdot Continue to provide 500 minutes of weekly instruction in math for 6th and 7th grades
- Implement Write-Traits program to enhance writing instruction across the curriculum in grades 6-12
- ·Procure and equip additional high school science lab
- Utilize vertical teaming to ensure continuous, comprehensive curriculum from grades 6-12 in math, science, English, and social studies
- ·Continue to develop and refine fine arts programs to enable students to achieve their potential and to provide community outreach

Aside from the challenge of adapting old facilities to meet the needs of our arts and academic programs, our most significant challenge involves funding for our arts programs. Studios, equipment and supplies are a continuous, recurring requirement, and all are expensive.

We are pleased that our students come from all parts of Charleston County, from a variety of social and economic backgrounds, and from a variety of educational experiences. The School of the Arts has been recognized by the SC Education Oversight Committee for bridging the gap in achievement between minority and non-minority students.

Celebrating the strength of diversity, we look forward to the continued building of this school's mission and its bright future.

Rose Maree Myers, Principal Louester A. S. Robinson, Ed. D., Chair-School Improvement Council

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS								
	Teachers	Students*	Parents*					
Number of surveys returned	37	81	69					
Percent satisfied with learning environment	89.2%	88.8%	97.1%					
Percent satisfied with social and physical environme	nt 62.2%	76.5%	83.8%					
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	94.3%	88.8%	76.5%					
*Only eleventh grade students and their parents were included. For schools	without grade 11, only	the highest grade	was included.					